

United States Patent and Trademark Office

IMERCE e

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/619,551	07/16/2003	Kunihiro Tsubosaki	DAIN:741	5933
6160	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER	
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.			CLARK, JASMINE JHIHAN B	
1421 PRINCE	E STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 210			AKI OMI	THE EXTOMBER
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314-2805		2815	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
d.	10/619,551	TSUBOSAKI, KUNIHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jasmine J Clark	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than the period for reply will be period for reply will be stated to the period for reply will b	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	August 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	·					
,						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-17 is/are withdrest. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6, 8-12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the lessenge of bythe lessenge of bythe lessenge of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to bythe lessenge of the lessenge	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least to the priority document to th	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicati riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 08/09/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "..,since the subject matter of all claims 1-17 is sufficiently related that a thorough and complete search for the subject matter of the elected claims should encompass a thorough and complete search for the subject matter of the non-elected claims...". This is not found persuasive because the reason for insisting on restriction as stated in MPEP§ 808 have been clearly met.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elenius et al. (US 6,441,487 B2).

Elenius shows a structure of a device comprising a semiconductor IC chip 10 provided with an electrode pad 18; an insulating layer 24 of for example polyimide (see column 6, line 45) which is an insulating elastic material; a connecting terminal 28 on an outer surface of the insulating layer; and a conductive post (bottom portion of 28) extending through the insulating layer and connecting the electrode pad of the semiconductor IC chip 10.

Concerning claim 7, wherein the connecting terminal is a solder ball 28, please see Fig. 2.

3. Claims 2-6, and 8-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applied reference fails to teach and/or suggest the limitations as set forth in above claims.

References Cited

4. Please also see Farnworth (US 6,147,413), Park et al. (US 6,492,200 B1), Frye et al. (US 5,898,223), Duboz et al. (US 5,726,500), Tsumura (US 5,023,697), Haug et al. (US 5,016,087), Kawashima (US 6,559,540 B2), Edell (US 5,045,151), Harada et al. (US

Art Unit: 2815

5,430,329), Shu (US 5,525,839), and Tsumura (US 5,229,646) regarding a semiconductor chip with an electrode pad.

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine J Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/10/01/04

JASMINE CLARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER